

 Follow up

Alcorn, James (SBE)

From: Carol Tobin [vote@warrencountyva.net] **Sent:** Wed 7/8/2009 3:45 PM
To: Alcorn, James (SBE)
Cc: 'Tracy Howard'
Subject: FW: Residency Document comments
Attachments:

Listed below are comments:

4: For localities that have towns, number 4 could create concerns. Persons owning a business located in a town, while their residence is in the county, may now use a loop-hole to register using their business address instead of their residence address; citing that their business serves as their primary nighttime residence (exp: they may just work the night shift). Considering this would also give them the opportunity to vote in town council elections.

8: Has anyone considered how this will work with VERIS? Years ago this would have worked great with "Non-Streeted" localities using a general description of the area to assign a precinct, since we could hand assign a precinct. However, precincts are assigned based on the specific street address not a general area.

11: This should be attached to a reasonable timeline for how long a person could retain his precinct in the event that the residence is destroyed or rendered uninhabitable; otherwise, someone may decide not update their residence address (even if they rebuild somewhere else) especially if they are happy voting in that precinct.

16: a,b,c,d: I would think that the current procedure that we use of a denial letter, with an application enclosed and a "right to appeal form" would be the best procedure and one that we should continue to use.

17 & 18: These seem to create an environment where the Registrars will be back and forth with correspondence to applicants that have missing information. In reality, most applicants fail to respond to letters sent (or phone calls) when questions are needed to clarify information. Again, the current procedure using a denial letter, with an application and a "right to appeal form" would work best.

19: Concerns about the accuracy of information that will be placed before the public.

Overall, I also have concerns that many of these proposals will only confuse the issues further. The more variations of specifics there are to interpret, the more room there will be for misinterpretation.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED SOLELY FOR THE INDIVIDUAL(S) NAMED IN THE HEADER. THIS MESSAGE MAY CONTAIN MATERIAL THAT IS PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL. IF YOU ARE NOT ONE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENTS, PLEASE DO NOT READ, COPY, USE, OR DISCLOSE THIS MESSAGE TO OTHERS; PLEASE NOTIFY THE SENDER BY REPLYING TO THIS MESSAGE; AND THEN PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU.